



The Photogrammetric Record - guidance to referees

Thank you for agreeing to review the enclosed paper- an important responsibility necessary to support this leading scientific journal. The Editorial Team will be grateful if you could return your comments within one month (sooner will be much appreciated!).

You should note that we seek to publish original papers which reflect both current practice and research throughout the world, in order to contribute to the advancement of photogrammetric knowledge and to the application of photogrammetric techniques in both traditional and novel ways. Thus acceptable material may emerge from interesting applications as well as from original developments or research.

Please could you provide explicit answers to the following questions:

1.	Is the material suitable as a full paper for the <i>Photogrammetric Record</i> (subject, style, length)?	Yes	No
2.	How would you rate the likely impact of this paper?	Extremely high High impact Moderate impact Low impact	
3.	Is the paper new or at least in some way original?	Yes	No
4.	Is the material more suitable for inclusion as a “shorter/industrial contribution”? (i.e. of lower academic status but still of significant interest to Society members and other subscribers)	Yes	No
5.	Is the work sound, well organised and clearly presented?	Yes	No
6.	Do the title and abstract provide a good description and summary of the paper?	Yes	No
7.	Are the aims and scope clearly stated in the introduction?	Yes	No
8.	Is sufficient credit given to prior work?	Yes	No
9.	Are the conclusions sound and justified?	Yes	No
10.	Do you wish to suggest additions, deletions or other modifications (text, mathematics, tables, figures, references)?	Yes	No
11.	Is it reasonable to ask the author(s) to improve their use of English, or to make significant modifications to conform to <i>Photogrammetric Record</i> style? (See Hints to Authors www.rspsoc.org)	Yes	No
12.	How closely does this paper correspond with your field of specialisation?	Closely Marginally Not at all	

Do you recommend: Acceptance Minor revision Major revision Rejection?

Please could you also supply a plain text commentary on the paper which provides both positive comments and constructive criticism to support your decisions above, together with a marked-up copy of the paper if appropriate. The whole should be supplied in such a way that it can easily be passed on to the communicating author in anonymous form.